1	MATTHEW D. POWERS (Bar No. 104795)		
2	matthew.powers@weil.com EDWARD R. REINES (Bar No. 135960)		
3	edward.reines@weil.com JEFFREY G. HOMRIG (Bar No. 215890)		
4	jeffrey.homrig@weil.com JILL J. HO (Bar No. 236349) jill.ho@weil.com WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 201 Redwood Shores Parkway Redwood Shores, CA 94065		
5			
6			
7	Telephone: (650) 802-3000 Facsimile: (650) 802-3100		
	1 acsimile. (030) 602-3100		
8 9	Attorneys for Plaintiff-Counterclaim Defendant NETAPP, INC.		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13	NETWORK APPLIANCE, INC. Case No. 3:07-CV-06053-EDL		
14	·		
15	Plaintiff-Counterclaim Defendant,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINE TO FILE MOTIONS	
16	V.	TO COMPEL	
17	SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC.		
18	Defendant-Counterclaim Plaintiff.		
19	In its February 12, 2008 Case Management Scheduling Order, the Court specified that motions to compel non-expert discovery must be filed within the time limits contained in Civil Local Rule 26-2, which provides that motions must be filed within 7 court days of the close of discovery. Order (Docket Entry 20), at ¶ 2a; Civil Local Rule 26-2. In its November 18, 2008 Order, the Court set April 24, 2009 as the close of fact discovery concerning certain of the patents-in-suit. As a result, the deadline for filing motions to compel concerning fact discovery		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	related to these patents is May 5, 2009.		
26	The parties (between themselves and with third-parties) have been, and continue to be		
27	The parties have agreed that a certain number of dep	ositions that were noticed prior to the close of discovery, but	
28	that could not be scheduled prior to that deadline, may		

1

Case 3:07-cv-06053-EDL Document 295 Filed 05/05/09 Page 2 of 2

1	engaged in what appear to be fruitful negotiations concerning their respective discovery	
2	responses. However, the volume of issues to work through is such that the meet-and-confer	
3	process is not yet complete. In light of this, the parties are concerned that, if this filing deadline is	
4	not continued, a multitude of discovery issues will be raised with the Court unnecessarily.	
5	Because the parties believe that continued discussions are likely to resolve many or all of the	
6		
7	currently pending disputes, they hereby stipulate through their respective counsel of record,	
8	subject to the Court's approval, that the deadline for filing motions to compel discovery that is	
9	subject to the April 24, 2009 fact discovery cutoff be continued until May 22, 2009.	
10		
11	Dated: May 4, 2009 WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP	
12	By: /s/ Jeffrey G. Homrig MATTHEW D. POWERS	
13	EDWARD R. REINES JEFFREY G. HOMRIG	
14	JILL J. HO	
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff, NETAPP, INC.	
16	Dated: May 4, 2009 DLA PIPER LLP (US)	
17		
18	By: /s/ Christine K. Corbett MARK D. FOWLER	
19	CLAYTON THOMPSON DAVID ALBERTI	
20	CHRISTINE K. CORBETT YAKOV M. ZOLOTOREV	
21	CARRIE L. WILLIAMSON	
22	Attorneys for Defendant, SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC.	
23		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
	Dated: May <u>5</u> , 2009	
25	THE IS SO ORDERED THE HUDGE	

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND DEADLINES TO FILE MOTIONS TO COMPEL

26

27

28

